

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

D/DCI/RM

Chen

06 NOV 1978

DCI/RM-78-3981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Science and Technology

FROM:

[Redacted]

Deputy to the DCI for Resource Management

SUBJECT:

NPIC Requirement for Additional DIA Positions
in FY 1979

REFERENCE:

DDS&T Memorandum No. 5042-78, dated 19 October
1978, same subject

[Redacted]

I am in full agreement with you that the 30 billets for NPIC are well justified and could be used profitably. The Conference Report, however, said that the billets should be applied in accordance with priorities cited during the appeal process. This verbiage refers to the sessions held with [Redacted] before the Conference was held. At that time, the GDIP Program Manager presented Chuck an alignment which, at the [Redacted] position level, would restore 15 of your billets. I believe a reasonable interpretation of "the intent of Congress" at this point would be to restore 15 billets to NPIC, which the GDIP Program Manager proposes to do.

[Redacted]

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

[Redacted]

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

DCI/RM 78-3981

SUBJECT: NPIC Requirements for Additional DIA
Positions in FY 1979

DCI/IC/RMS/PBO [REDACTED]

Distribution:

Original - Addressee, DDS&T

1 - CIA Comptroller

① - D/DCI/RM

1 - A-D/PGO

1 - A-D/PAO

1 - D/IRO

1 - IC Registry

1 - PBO subj [REDACTED]

1 - PBO chrono

SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

Deputy to the DCI

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

11 November 1978

MEMO FOR: DCI

FROM:



25X1

The attached was provided to Secretary Vance
in response to his question of the PRC(I).



25X1

cc: DDCI w/att

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

Deputy to the Director of Central Intelligence
for Resource Management

Washington, D.C. 20505

03 NOV 1978

Dick -

We will draft the document requested to define the relation between RMS and the NIO for warning. It does sound like a good idea; I would welcome your advice on warning value of proposals and better ways to look at it. We'll be back to you soon.

John

RTZ	Office	Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9	Date	25X1
	D/DCI/RM			
	AD/DCI/RM			
	EA			
1	EO			
	REGISTRY			
	DSG			
	ADMIN STAFF			
	CLLO			
	NFIB			
	IRDC			
	IRO			
	PAO			
	PBO			
	PGO			
	CTS			

You were going
to ask [redacted]
to be the point
of contact on
warning - I
will ask him
to draw up
a paper for
Dick Lehman

Thanks.

When circled - cy has been
furnished.

(This page not sent
to Lehman)

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00772A000500010051-9

*IN A File
ASTOR, PA
DAO*

27 October 1978

25X1 MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

Director, Resource Management Staff

FROM : Richard Lehman
National Intelligence Officer for Warning

SUBJECT : Relations with the National Warning System

25X1 [REDACTED]
1. Attached is an outline prepared by Ted Shackley to define in general terms the relationship between CTS, the NIO for Warning, and the Warning Working Group.

2. As I travel around and educate myself in this business, I discover that a number of people expect me to be a voice for warning concerns in the budget process at the national level. I am fully aware that the warning component of the budget cannot be isolated, much less quantified, and I am not looking for authority or seeking to establish yet another cumbersome staff arrangement. Rather, I think there may well be times when advice on the warning value of individual systems and budget items might be called for at the national level. The very fact that warning is such a slippery creature in management terms could make this very valuable.

3. I am therefore requesting that you have a comparable document drafted for us to consider in the Working Group. We would, of course, invite you or your representative to participate, and any understanding we arrive at will have to be a joint one.

[REDACTED]
Richard Lehman

25X1

Attachment

005827

12 October 1978

Collection Tasking Relationship
with the I&W Working Group

CTS should inform the Working Group of any areas or situations where we perceive a potential crisis. CTS should also be prepared to provide the following to the Working Group with regard to any area or situation engaging its attention:

1. Status of collection
2. Options for changing collection
3. Information on trade-offs or costs
4. Vulnerability of collection systems
5. Timeliness of collection
6. Potential reaction to collection changes
7. Information as to volume of intelligence to be made available
8. Level of confidence in collection

For its part, the Working Group could:

1. Provide CTS (OCE) its evaluation of the I&W value of collection systems
2. Suggest potential crisis areas or situations for CTS attention
3. Recommend that CTS alter collection
4. Request CTS assistance in expediting dissemination of intelligence